



DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/747,268             | 12/26/2000    | Bernard Vining       |                     | 2695             |
| 75                     | 90 03/24/2005 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| BERNARD VINING         |               |                      | PHAM, TOAN NGOC     |                  |
| 6419 SOUTH TROY STREET |               |                      | L ADTIBUTE I        | DADED MUDADED    |
| CHICAGO, IL 60629      |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                        |               |                      | 2632                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/747,268  | VINING, BERNARD  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Toan N Pham   | 2632   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | opears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail  - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | l.<br>.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS<br>tte, cause the application to become ABANI | y be timely filed<br>60) days will be considered timely.<br>S from the mailing date of this communication.<br>DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13   | December 2004.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | is action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/   | rawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir   | ner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac  | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance  | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre   | •   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in App<br>iority documents have been re<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | olication No ceived in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
|  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | A) [ ]  | om er: (PTO 412)   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/M   | nmary (PTO-413)<br>//ail Date  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 8) 5) ☐ Notice of Infor<br>6) ☐ Other:  | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

1

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

Claims 13-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 11-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: There are no claims 1-6 which these claims are dependent upon. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 12, 13 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: The word "identifying" is misspelled and should be changed to -identifying--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "said when false alarm" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,268

Art Unit: 2632

Claim 13 recites the limitation "said when resetted in cycle" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said when system resetted" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "said by same" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said remotely sounding audible" in lines 3-4; "said of smoke hazard" in lines 4-5; and "said identified" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by O'Donnell (US 5,933,078). O'Donnell discloses a multi-station dangerous condition alarm with visual signal indicators that distinctly identified the individual detector during false alarm and smoke hazard conditions (col. 3, lines 5-17; col. 6, lines 35-65; abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/747,268

Art Unit: 2632

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell (US 5,933,078) in view of Markwell et al. (US 6,078,269) (of record).

Regarding claims 11-14: O'Donnell does not disclose the claimed timer controlled. Markwell et al. discloses the RF wireless fire and smoke alarm system includes timer controlled (abstract; col. 4, lines 13-23). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the timer controlled as taught by Markwell et al. in a system as disclosed by O'Donnell to provide a more reliable, false-free alarm system.

Regarding claims 15 and 16: O'Donnell does not disclose the claimed RF frequency for remote wireless communication and resetting means. Markwell et al. discloses the RF wireless fire and smoke alarm system includes the RF link for communicating with other sensing unit as well as remote resetting (col. 4, lines 8-62). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the well-known RF technology to conveniently communicate and control the alarm condition.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,268

Art Unit: 2632

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Landais (US 6,384,724), Reinowitz (US 4,363031), Lee (US 4,232,308) and Morris (US 5,587,705) are cited to show a variety of wireless smoke alarm systems.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan N Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 11, 2005

TOAN N. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6